1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
2	EASTERN DIVISION
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) Case No. 23 CR 00028
4	v.)
5	JEFF McGRAW,) Chicago, Illinois
6) September 23, 2024 Defendant.) 10:32 a.m.
7	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - CHANGE OF PLEA HEARING
8	BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDMOND E. CHANG
9	APPEARANCES:
10	For the Government: MR. MORRIS O. PASQUAL
11	ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY BY: MR. JIMMY L. ARCE
12	Assistant United States Attorney 219 South Dearborn Street, Suite 500
13	Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 353-5300
14	For the Defendant: DolTER LAW P.C.
15	BY: MS. YELENA A. DOLGOSHEEVA P.O. Box 5382
16	Buffalo Grove, Illinois 60089 (847) 208-4348
17	
18	Court Reporter: JUDITH A. WALSH, CSR, RDR, F/CRR
19	Official Court Reporter 219 South Dearborn Street, Room 2342
20	Chicago, Illinois 60604 Telephone: (312) 702-8865
21	judith_walsh@ilnd.uscourts.gov
22	
23	* * * *
24	
25	PROCEEDINGS REPORTED BY STENOTYPE TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED USING COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

```
1
      (Proceedings heard in open court:)
             THE CLERK: 233 CR 28, USA versus Jeff McGraw.
 2
 3
             THE COURT: All right. You can just stay at counsel
 4
    table and talk right into the mikes. And we'll get
 5
    appearances.
 6
             MR. ARCE: Good morning, your Honor. Jimmy Arce,
 7
    A-r-c-e, on behalf of the United States stepping in for Brandon
 8
    Stone.
 9
             MS. DOLGOSHEEVA: Good morning, your Honor. Yelena
10
    Dolgosheeva on behalf of Mr. McGraw. And Mr. McGraw is present
11
    to my left.
12
             THE COURT: Okay. Good morning.
13
             Good morning, Mr. McGraw.
14
             THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.
15
             THE COURT: All right. We are here for a change of
16
    plea.
           Are both sides ready to proceed? First, for the
17
    government.
18
             MR. ARCE: Yes, your Honor.
19
             THE COURT: And the defense?
20
             MS. DOLGOSHEEVA: Yes, your Honor.
21
             THE COURT: Okay. And then before we get started, let
22
    me just make sure, not for purposes of criminal Rule 11 plea
23
    negotiations, but to just ensure assistance of counsel, this is
24
    a plea declaration, Ms. Dolgosheeva, correct?
25
             MS. DOLGOSHEEVA: Yes, your Honor.
```

THE COURT: And so that means that any of the pretrial motions that have been filed to date would not be preserved for appeal. And so Mr. McGraw understands that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MS. DOLGOSHEEVA: Yes, your Honor. We have discussed that and we have --

THE COURT: All right. We'll talk further,

Mr. McGraw. I just wanted to make sure defense counsel is
already on board as well.

All right. So, Mr. McGraw, I understand it's your intention to change your plea today to the sole count of the indictment from not guilty to guilty. And I do need to, during this hearing, make sure of a number of things, though. First, I need to make sure that you're mentally competent to plead guilty, and that means that you're not suffering from some kind of mental illness that would prevent you from understanding what you're doing here today.

I need to ensure that you've had the assistance of counsel; that you understand the charge to which you propose to plead guilty; you understand what the maximum penalties are for this kind of charge; that you know all the rights you'll be giving up by pleading guilty. The plea does have to be voluntary, meaning no one is threatening you or forcing you to plead guilty, but we also need to check on a factual basis for the plea. So we'll do these things one by one during today's

hearing.

If at any point you want to stop and speak with your lawyer in private, just get her attention or get my attention, and we'll take a timeout. All right. So do you understand you can ask us to pause at any time during the hearing?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Now, you're going to be making more statements, and because you're not a lawyer, you do have be put under an oath to tell the truth.

I'll ask the courtroom deputy to do that now.

THE CLERK: Would you please raise your right hand.
(Defendant sworn.)

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. McGraw, you are now under an oath to tell the truth, and that means that if you were to make a false statement during today's hearing, you could be prosecuted separately for the crime of false statement or for the crime of perjury. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, we talked about taking a timeout to talk to your attorney if you have any questions that come up, but also you can just stop this hearing at any time. And if you've changed your mind and you want a trial instead, then we'll get a jury trial ready for you.

1 So you understand that not only can you pause to talk 2 with your attorney, you can stop this hearing at any time? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 4 THE COURT: You also have a right against 5 self-incrimination. That means that you have the absolute 6 right to not say anything that tends to show you're guilty of 7 this charge but, of course, in a change of plea hearing, you 8 will be making statements that tend to show you are quilty. 9 And pleading guilty is the ultimate act of incriminating 10 yourself. 11 So do you understand you have that right and that by 12 going through with today's hearing, you'll be giving up that 13 right? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 15 THE COURT: Okay. In terms of mental competency, I'm 16 just going to ask you some background questions to see if you 17 understand what I'm saying, and I'll also ask about your mental 18 health history, if any. 19 So go ahead, Mr. McGraw, and state your full name 20 including your middle name if you have one. 21 THE DEFENDANT: Jeff Ahrmon McGraw. 22 THE COURT: Can you spell your middle name? And 23 actually, also pull the mike closer and speak right into it. 24 THE DEFENDANT: Jeff Ahrmon McGraw. My middle name,

25

A-h-r-m-o-n.

1	THE COURT: How old are you?
2	THE DEFENDANT: I'm 30.
3	THE COURT: Are you married?
4	THE DEFENDANT: No.
5	THE COURT: Do you have any children?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
7	THE COURT: How many?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Three.
9	THE COURT: How old are they?
10	THE DEFENDANT: 12 no, 13, 11, and 9.
11	THE COURT: How far did you get in school?
12	THE DEFENDANT: I got my GED.
13	THE COURT: And before you were arrested in this case,
14	what was the last job you held down?
15	THE DEFENDANT: I'm an entertainer.
16	THE COURT: Okay. An entertainer?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Were you doing that full-time?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20	THE COURT: And in terms of your physical health
21	first, your physical health, are you under the care of a doctor
22	for any ongoing physical condition?
23	THE DEFENDANT: No.
24	THE COURT: Are you suffering from any ongoing
25	physical condition?

```
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: What is it?
 3
             THE DEFENDANT: My leg, I broke my leg. I got to do
    physical therapy because I got a rod and pins in my leg.
 4
 5
             THE COURT: Okay. And in terms of physical therapy,
 6
    are you doing any now that you're in custody or not?
 7
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, but they stopped me because they
 8
    said the U.S. marshals only approved me for ten visits.
 9
             THE COURT: Okay. You had ten visits for physical
10
    therapy, but now they've stopped?
11
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
12
             THE COURT: And are you taking any medication in
13
    connection with this physical therapy or pain management, for
14
    example?
15
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16
             THE COURT: Okay. What kind of medication?
17
             THE DEFENDANT: I'm taking nerve medication,
18
    gabapentin.
19
             THE COURT: Say it one more time.
20
             THE DEFENDANT: It's a nerve medication called
21
    gabapentin.
22
             THE COURT: And how long have you been taking that,
23
    approximately?
24
             THE DEFENDANT: 14 months.
25
             THE COURT: So during that 14-month time period while
```

```
you've been taking this medication, has it ever made it harder
 1
 2
    for you to think or understand things?
 3
             THE DEFENDANT:
                              No.
             THE COURT: Any other medication?
 4
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 5
 6
             THE COURT: What else?
 7
             THE DEFENDANT: I take psyche medications.
 8
             THE COURT: And so let's -- before we get to your
 9
    mental health history, any other medications for any physical
10
    condition?
11
             THE DEFENDANT:
                              Blood pressure pills.
12
             THE COURT: And how long have you been taking the
13
    blood pressure pills?
14
             THE DEFENDANT:
                              Seven years.
15
             THE COURT: And so same question here: During the
16
    time that you've been taking these blood pressure pills, has
17
    that ever made it harder for you to think or understand things?
18
             THE DEFENDANT:
                              No. sir.
19
             THE COURT: Any other medication for physical
20
    problems?
21
             THE DEFENDANT:
                              No. sir.
22
             THE COURT: Now, in terms of your mental health
23
    history, have you been diagnosed or treated or suffered from a
24
    mental illness?
25
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
```

THE COURT: What is it? 1 THE DEFENDANT: PTSD, anxiety, depression, and OCD. 2 3 THE COURT: So for these four conditions, are you taking medication right now? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 5 6 THE COURT: All right. And what are you taking? 7 THE DEFENDANT: I take Wellbutrin, clonidine, and 8 prazosin. 9 THE COURT: And have you been taking all three 10 medications during your detention? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 THE COURT: And so in that period of time when you've 13 been taking those three pieces of medication, has taking those 14 medications made it harder for you to think or understand things? 15 16 No, sir. THE DEFENDANT: THE COURT: So for example, while you've been taking 17 18 this medication and suffering from these four conditions, when 19 you've talked to your lawyer about the case, have you been able 20 to understand what she's saying? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Have you been able to put questions 23 together to ask her if you have questions? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Okay. And so anything else that you're

1 taking in terms of medications other than those three meds that 2 you just mentioned? 3 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Dolgosheeva, what's your 4 5 opinion as to Mr. McGraw's competency to plead guilty? 6 MS. DOLGOSHEEVA: Your Honor, I believe he's 7 absolutely competent. 8 THE COURT: Okay. And let me also just make sure, 9 Mr. McGraw, I understand you're in detention but I do need to 10 still ask, are you under the influence of alcohol right now? 11 THE DEFENDANT: No. sir. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Or any other controlled substance 13 other than all of those medications that you've mentioned for 14 your physical and mental problems? 15 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. 16 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Arce? 17 MR. ARCE: Judge, based on this allocution here today, 18 I have no reasons to doubt Mr. McGraw's competency. 19 THE COURT: All right. And Mr. Stone did not relate 20 to you any concerns either? 21 MR. ARCE: He did not, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. I do find Mr. McGraw competent to 23 enter a plea of guilty. He's clearly understood all the 24 questions I've asked him. He does suffer from some mental

conditions, but he's been taking medications for those and,

25

just as importantly, has been able to understand and think through ideas about the case in consultation with his lawyer.

All right. Let's make sure you understand the nature of the charge to which you propose to plead guilty. And first off, I want to make sure that you did receive a copy of the indictment in the case. That is the document that had the charge in it. Do you remember that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. And have you fully discussed this charge with your lawyer, Ms. Dolgosheeva?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And have you told her everything you know about the case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: The charge to which you propose to plead guilty, it's sometimes called a felon in possession charge. What the government would have to prove is that you, first, knowingly possessed a firearm and then, second, when you possessed that firearm, you had already been convicted of a previous felony; and when you possessed that firearm, you knew that you had been convicted of a felony; and then lastly that the firearm had to have some kind of effect on interstate commerce which for our purposes just simply means that that firearm passed across state lines at some point.

So do you understand all of the elements or things

```
1
    that the government would have to prove to show you quilty of
    this particular charge?
 2
 3
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
 4
             THE COURT: And you discussed the elements of the
 5
    offense with your lawyer, Ms. Dolgosheeva?
 6
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
 7
             THE COURT: Are you satisfied with the efforts that
 8
    defense counsel has made on your behalf?
 9
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
10
             THE COURT: And does that include the advice and
11
    discussions she had with you with regard to entering into this
12
    plea declaration?
13
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
14
             THE COURT: So for example, has she spent enough time
15
    with you?
16
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes.
17
             THE COURT: And then we touched on this earlier, but
18
    when you asked questions, did she at least try to answer them?
19
             THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, all of them.
20
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. Now, I'm going to just
21
    turn to this plea declaration that we were provided, Page 8.
22
    It's a signature page. Is that your signature up here,
23
    Mr. McGraw?
24
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
25
             THE COURT: All right. And did you read this plea
```

declaration before you signed it? 1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 2 3 THE COURT: Do you understand the terms of this plea declaration? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 6 THE COURT: Does -- well, so this plea declaration 7 means that you have no agreements with the government. Do you 8 understand that? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 10 THE COURT: And do you have any understandings or 11 agreements with the government? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Do I have any understanding? THE COURT: Yeah. 13 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I understand that I possessed 15 the weapon. 16 THE COURT: Okay. No, but like with the government, 17 have they told you any kind of promise or assurance about this 18 case? 19 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. 20 THE COURT: Okay. And then, Ms. Dolgosheeva, let me 21 just confirm that the government has not given you or your 22 client any promise or assurance about this case or the plea. 23 MS. DOLGOSHEEVA: That is correct, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Okay. And is that correct, Mr. Arce? 25 MR. ARCE: That's my understanding, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Now, the -- this plea declaration, has anyone threatened you or your children or your family or friends or anyone in order to force you to sign this plea declaration?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. So later on when you enter a plea of guilty, will you be pleading guilty of your own free will because you are guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, one thing that I -- so I just covered with Ms. Dolgosheeva at the beginning of the hearing is that by entering into this plea of guilty without an agreement from the government, this plea declaration, this means that you cannot challenge on appeal any of the decisions that the Court has made up to this point. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. So that means, for example, the Second Amendment motion that you filed and that I denied, you would not be able to challenge that on appeal. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. And then with regard to the potential penalties, I'm going to actually ask the government to state what it believes are the maximum penalties for this offense.

Mr. Arce?

MR. ARCE: Yes, your Honor. The maximum penalty for the 922(g)(1) charge would be 15 years' imprisonment, a maximum fine of \$250,000, a maximum term of supervised release of three years, and a special assessment of \$100.

THE COURT: Okay. So do you understand, Mr. McGraw, what the maximum penalties are for this kind of offense?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And Mr. Arce mentioned supervised release. In the federal system, after someone serves a term of imprisonment, they very often also serve a term of supervised release. The defendant is no longer in prison, but he is under the supervision of the court, and there's all these conditions that the defendant must follow. And I would impose the conditions at sentencing.

If a condition of supervised release is violated, then the government can come back in to court and ask for supervised release to be revoked or taken away, and the defendant would go back into prison if I so decide.

So do you understand what is supervised release in the federal system?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, of course, as I sit here today, I don't know what the sentence will be. We'll have a sentencing hearing in a couple of months. You and your lawyer will be

able to file things in writing before the sentencing hearing.

You'll be able to then make arguments at the sentencing of what the sentence ought to be.

But do you understand that if I choose a sentence that is higher than what you and your lawyer propose, that would not

THE DEFENDANT: No.

understand that?

THE COURT: Yeah, let me try that again. So you do understand that we're not doing the sentencing today.

be a basis to withdraw or take back the plea of guilty.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And in a few months, we'll have the sentencing hearing. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: At the sentencing hearing, both you and the government will get to propose whatever sentence you think is appropriate under the law. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, if I pick a sentence that is higher than what you and Ms. Dolgosheeva propose, that's not a reason to withdraw or take back the plea of guilty. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Now I do.

THE COURT: All right. Okay. So any questions about that?

THE DEFENDANT: What will be reasons that I could take it back?

THE COURT: So to -- once you enter the plea of guilty, which is completely up to you, at the end of this hearing if you do enter the plea of guilty, then under criminal Rule 11, the only bases to take back the plea of guilty would be if it was in the, quote, interest of justice. And what -- obviously, that's a very broad phrase, but it actually is pretty narrow grounds.

Like, so, for example, if it turned out that you actually are not competent to plead guilty despite your appearances and what you've said today, then that might be a basis to withdraw. If you got somehow bad advice from your lawyer that is, like, just unreasonable advice, then that might be a basis to withdraw.

So I can't spell them all out because it's a standard that's pretty broad, but the grounds are pretty narrow. Okay. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. But for sure, it would not be a reason to withdraw if you propose a sentence down here and I pick one up here, all right, so one that's higher than what you propose.

Do you understand that is not a reason to withdraw your plea of guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Now, similar to that, I would have to consider all the goals and factors of sentencing that you can find in a law at Title 18, United States Code Section 3553(a). So you understand I will have to consider all those goals and factors?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. So and did you talk to your lawyer about Section 3553(a), all the goals and factors of sentencing?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: One of those factors is the United States Sentencing Guidelines. The guidelines are a set of rules by which at every sentencing, I calculate two numbers. The first one is called the offense level. Not surprisingly, I look at the offense, like, what kind of offense was it. And then I look at certain facts about the offense; in firearms cases, for example, how many firearms were involved and was the serial number obliterated and so on. So that's the offense level.

Then I also have to calculate the criminal history category by looking at the defendant's criminal history. And the criminal history categories go from I through VI. There's a table, the sentencing guidelines table, where I go down one side for the offense level, then I stop. And then I start going across the table for the criminal history category. And then in that spot in the table, that's the advice of the

guidelines on what the sentence should be. It doesn't bind the Court. I do need to consider its advice.

Do you understand what the sentencing guidelines are?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand I will have to calculate them and consider them at sentencing?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, similar to the sentence, if I calculate a sentencing guidelines range in that table that is higher than what you and your lawyer propose, again, that's not a reason to withdraw or take back the plea of guilty. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's make sure then -oh, before I move on to all the rights you'll be giving up by
pleading guilty, on forfeiture, is it the defendant's intention
to challenge forfeiture?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So let me just go through this with Mr. McGraw as well. If you remember, in the indictment there was the charge for being -- having been convicted of a felony and possessing a firearm. There was another page to the indictment which is called forfeiture. And what that means is that the government is seeking to extinguish any interest you might have in owning or possessing the gun and the ammunition

1 that is charged in the indictment. Do you understand that? 2 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. So that's what forfeiture is. You 4 5 give up all your interest in the firearm or ammunition. 6 So do you understand that by entering a plea of 7 guilty, then the gun and ammunition would be subject to 8 forfeiture? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 10 THE COURT: Okay. And you understand that you do have 11 a right actually to have a jury decide whether you should give 12 up the interest in the firearm. 13 And so are you waiving or giving up that right to have 14 a jury decide forfeiture? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Now, let's move on to all the other 17 rights that you'd be giving up by pleading guilty. First and 18 foremost, you understand you do have a right to continue to 19 plead not guilty and insist on a trial. 20 Do you understand that? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: And if you wanted a jury trial then, of 23 course, we would hold a jury trial for you. 24 Do you understand your right to a trial by jury? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: At any kind of trial, it would be the government that bears the burden of proving that you are guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

So do you understand that the government bears the burden and that the burden is proof beyond a reasonable doubt?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: At any trial you would also have the right to the assistance of a lawyer. And if you continue to not be able to afford a lawyer, then I would continue to appoint one for you free of charge.

So do you understand your right to the assistance of a lawyer?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: The lawyer would help you before the trial, during the trial, and all the points after the trial.

So do you understand that the lawyer would help you at all phases of the trial?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: You also would have a right to see and hear all of the government's witnesses against you. They would have to physically walk into the courtroom. They would sit right there at the witness stand. And not only would you have a right to hear their testimony against you, you through your lawyer could ask them questions under oath through what we call cross-examination.

So do you understand your right to see, hear, and question all of the government's witnesses against you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: You would also have the right to introduce evidence. And if you needed the power of the court to gather evidence before trial or you needed the power of the court to require a witness to come and testify for you, then your lawyer could issue what we call subpoenas which is just another term for court orders that require the production of evidence to you or to show up at trial to testify for you.

So do you understand your right to gather evidence and put on testimony and evidence at trial through the power of subpoenas?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, there is one person that no one can force to testify, and that person is you. You have the absolute right to not testify, and if you decided to not testify, I would instruct the jury that they could take no hint or suggestion of guilt just because you decided to not testify.

So do you understand your right to not testify? THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: The opposite side of that coin is that you have the absolute right to testify. No one can stop you from testifying, not your lawyer, not the government. I could not stop you from testifying.

So do you understand that you would have also had the absolute right to testify?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: In the federal system, it is possible to have what we call a bench trial where instead of the jury deciding whether you are guilty or not guilty, I would decide, the Court would decide whether you are guilty or not guilty. However, in the federal system, in order to hold a bench trial, you would have to first agree to it, the government would then also have to agree to it, and then lastly I would have to agree to hold a bench trial.

But do you understand that there was at least a possibility of a bench trial?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's go back to jury trials because you would have the absolute right to have a jury trial if you wanted one. And you would also have the right to participate in picking the jury. The jury would be composed of 12 individuals who are eligible to serve. We would pick them by calling in a few dozen individuals who are eligible to serve, and we'd ask them questions to see if they could fairly sit in judgment of you.

And then there would be two ways for you to stop someone from sitting on the jury. First, if you can convince me through your lawyer that a particular potential juror could

not fairly sit in judgment of you, I would not let that person sit on the jury.

And then second, in a case like this, you would have 11 peremptory challenges where you could just pick 11 individuals that you don't want to serve on the jury, and you would not even have to explain why. I would just not put those people on the jury.

So do you understand your right to participate in that jury selection procedure?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: If after you -- after we had picked the jury and they had heard all the evidence as well as the arguments of the lawyers, then in order to return a verdict, meaning in order to decide the case, all 12 of them would have to be unanimous on the verdict.

So do you understand your right to a unanimous jury verdict?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Lastly, if you were to go to trial and if you had been convicted, then you would have a right to appeal from all the mistakes made before the trial, all the mistakes during the trial, all the mistakes after the trial.

So do you understand that as part of your trial right, you also would have had a right to appeal from any mistakes made at this trial level?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Having heard all of those rights that you would be giving up by pleading guilty, is it still your intention to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Then I'm going to ask

Ms. Dolgosheeva to set forth in summary what would be the

factual basis for the plea. And I do want you to listen

carefully, Mr. McGraw, because at the end of this summary that

she's going to read into the record, I will turn back to you,

and I'm going to ask you whether you disagree with any of the

facts and whether you agree with the summary.

All right. Ms. Dolgosheeva?

MS. DOLGOSHEEVA: On or about July 14, 2022,
Mr. McGraw carried a firearm in Calumet City which is located
in this district, the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern
Division. At the time of possession of the firearm, Mr. McGraw
knew that he had previously been convicted of crimes punishable
by a term of imprisonment exceeding one year, specifically,
reckless discharge of a firearm and conspiracy to commit
murder.

The firearm that Mr. McGraw had on his person was a Glock 45 .9 millimeter semiautomatic pistol serial No. BHYZ683. Mr. McGraw knowingly possessed this firearm. Mr. McGraw acknowledges that this firearm had traveled in interstate and

foreign commerce prior to his possession of said firearm. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. McGraw, are those facts true? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Do you disagree with any of the facts just 4 stated? 5 6 THE DEFENDANT: No. 7 THE COURT: All right. Then how do you plead to the 8 sole count of the indictment which is felon in possession of a 9 firearm: Guilty or not guilty? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 11 THE COURT: It's the judgment of the Court that the 12 defendant is mentally competent to enter a plea of guilty. 13 understands the charge. He understands what the maximum 14 penalties are. He's had the assistance of counsel. The plea 15 is knowing and voluntary, and there is a factual basis for the 16 plea. So I'll accept the plea and enter a finding of guilt. 17 Let's set a sentencing date. 18 THE CLERK: December 17 at 12:30. 19 THE COURT: All right. And the minute entry will have 20 deadlines for the sentencing memoranda. 21 Is there anything else for the government? 22 MR. ARCE: No, your Honor. Thank you. 23 THE COURT: And for the defense? 24 MS. DOLGOSHEEVA: Your Honor, just a question. Given 25 that the parties disagree about the base offense level and the

two enhancements, would it make sense to set a reply date as well? It's the government's burden of proof, the base offense level as well as the enhancements.

THE COURT: Yeah, there's going to be cross-responses which I think might be enough. Yeah, so, I mean, you ought to -- you probably have a pretty good idea in plea negotiations where the government is going to come from, so you can include some of that argument in your opening brief. And then you'll see the government's opening brief, and they'll see yours, and then there will be cross-responses.

So I think that should take care of it.

MS. DOLGOSHEEVA: Thank you, Judge. So your Honor anticipates, and I'm going to ask Mr. Arce, would the government be filing a sentencing memo instead of a response because sometimes the government files a sentencing memo on the sentencing memo deadline, and sometimes the government just files a response to my sentencing.

THE COURT: No, I would expect a sentencing memo from both parties, an opening sentencing memo and then cross-responses.

MR. ARCE: That's my understanding as well, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ARCE: And December 17th, I anticipate covering the sentencing hearing. Mr. Stone will start an extended leave soon. I have a trial that starts December 16th, and I'm

```
wondering if it's possible to move the sentencing up just a
 1
 2
    couple of days to December 13th if that works for your Honor.
 3
             THE COURT:
                         Is that too close to --
             THE CLERK: It's earlier.
 4
 5
             THE COURT: It's earlier than the probation time?
 6
             MR. ARCE:
                        Oh, that's right.
 7
             THE COURT: So let me -- and how long is your trial?
 8
             MR. ARCE:
                         I anticipate it lasting just that week.
    don't think it would bleed into the next week. I certainly
 9
10
    hope not.
11
             THE COURT: Okay. Are you around the 23rd?
12
             MR. ARCE:
                        Yes.
                              I don't anticipate traveling for the
13
    holidays.
14
             THE COURT: Ms. Dolgosheeva?
15
             MS. DOLGOSHEEVA:
                                I'm available.
16
                         Okay. Let's set it for the 23rd at, we'll
             THE COURT:
    do it at 10:00 a.m. It was the noon hour because I am on trial
17
18
    through the 20th, but this might make a little bit more sense
19
    because if there's an evidentiary hearing involved, it will be
20
    better to do it at 10:00 instead of during the lunch hour at a
21
    trial.
22
             So December 23rd at 10:00 a.m.
                                              Okay.
                                                     Thanks.
23
             MR. ARCE:
                        Thank you, Judge.
24
             MS. DOLGOSHEEVA: Thank you, your Honor.
25
       (Proceedings adjourned at 11:02 a.m.)
```

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. /s/Judith A. Walsh March 15, 2025 Judith A. Walsh, CSR, RDR, F/CRR Date Official Court Reporter